NEW RESEARCH IN

Physical Sciences ▾

Social Sciences ▾

Biological Sciences ▾

LETTER

Making inferences about racial disparities in police violence

Dean Knox and ⬤ Jonathan Mummolo

This Letter has a Reply and related content. Please see:

Officer characteristics and racial disparities in fatal officer-involved shootings - July 22, 2019

Reply to Knox and Mummolo and Schimmack and Carlsson: Controlling for crime and population rates - January 21, 2020

Related Article

Young unarmed nonsuicidal male victims of fatal use of force are 13 times more likely to be Black than White - Jan 21, 2020

Article   Info & Metrics   🗎 PDF

A recent PNAS study, Johnson et al. (**1**), investigates the role of race in fatal police shootings. Unlike previous studies which focused on victim race alone, the paper features original data about the race of officers who use deadly force and offers a rare accounting of other shooting attributes that contextualize fatal

encounters. Johnson et al. (**1**) discuss possible "discrimination by White officers" (ref. **1**, p. 15877), but conclude racial diversity in police agencies brings limited benefits—a claim cited by major news outlets and in US Congressional testimony, inflaming an already contentious policy debate.

Despite the value of this much-needed research, its approach is mathematically incapable of supporting its central claims. In this letter, we clarify the gap between what Johnson et al.'s study asserts and what it actually estimates, as well as the implications of that difference for policymaking and future scholarship on race and policing.

Johnson et al.'s study asks "the degree to which Black civilians are more likely to be fatally shot than White civilians" (ref. **1**, p. 15877) and prominently asserts "White officers are not more likely to shoot minority civilians than non-White officers" (ref. **1**, p. 15877). In the language of probability, Johnson et al.'s study (**1**) concludes

$$\Pr\left(\text{shot} \mid \text{minority civilian}, \text{white officer}, X\right) \quad -\Pr\left(\text{shot}|\text{minority civilian}, \text{minority officer}, X\right) \leq$$

[1]

where X are encounter attributes.

Johnson et al.'s (**1**) analysis cannot recover these shooting rates because all observations in the data involve shootings. Instead, it estimates "whether a person fatally shot was more likely to be Black (or Hispanic) than White" (ref. **1**, p. 15880), which does not correspond to the stated assertions. In a preprint response to our concerns, Johnson and Cesario (**2**) acknowledge the gap between the claim and the quantity estimated. Yet despite this, Johnson et al.'s (1) original paper infers no "evidence of anti-Black or anti-Hispanic disparity… and, if anything, found anti-White disparities" (ref. **1**, p. 15880) simply because more fatally shot civilians are White.*

Johnson et al.'s (**1**) analysis cannot inform the original claims without accounting for Bayes' rule:

$$\Pr\left(\text{shot}|\text{minority civilian}, \text{white officer}, X\right)$$
$$- \Pr\left(\text{shot}|\text{minority civilian}, \text{minority officer}, X\right)$$
$$= \frac{\Pr(\text{min.civ.}|\text{shot},\text{white off.},X) \times \Pr(\text{shot}|\text{White off.},X)}{\Pr(\text{minority civilian}|\text{White officer},X)}$$
$$- \frac{\Pr(\text{min.civ.}|\text{shot},\text{min.off.},X) \times \Pr(\text{shot}|\text{min.off.},X)}{\Pr(\text{minority civilian}|\text{Minority officer},X)}.$$

[2]

Johnson et al.'s (**1**) study examines only part of the numerators in **Eq. 2**'s right-hand side, terms dealing with $\Pr\left(\text{minority civilian} \mid \text{shot}, \ldots\right)$. Because it does not consider how many minority or White civilians are encountered, $\Pr\left(\text{minority civilian} \mid \ldots\right)$—**Eq. 2**'s denominators— Johnson et al.'s (**1**) study does not show whether "Black civilians are more likely to be fatally shot than White civilians" (ref. **1**, p. 15877); i.e., $\Pr\left(\text{shot} \mid \text{black civilian}, \ldots\right) > \Pr\left(\text{shot} \mid \text{white civilian}, \ldots\right)$. Similarly, the claim that "White officers are not more likely to shoot minority civilians than non-White officers" (ref. **1**, p. 15877), i.e., $\Pr\left(\text{shot} \mid \text{minority civilian}, \text{White officer}, X\right) \leq \Pr\left(\text{shot} \mid \text{minority civilian}, \text{non}-\text{White officer}, X\right),$

is unsupported. The omission of $\Pr(\text{shot} \mid \text{Officer race}, X)$—the second part of **Eq. 2**'s numerators—further separates the stated claim and the quantity estimated. As **Eq. 2** makes clear, the addition of controls, X, such as the number of crimes committed by each racial group, does not solve these conceptual issues.

Johnson et al.'s (**1**) study describes attributes of fatal police shootings. While a contribution, these facts alone cannot inform the relative likelihood of White and non-White officers shooting racial minorities. Readers and policymakers should keep this important limitation in mind when considering this work.

Footnotes

References

1. ↵ D. J. Johnson, T. Tress, N. Burkel, C. Taylor, J. Cesario, Officer characteristics and racial disparities in fatal officer-involved shootings. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 15877–15882 (2019). Abstract/FREE Full Text Google Scholar

2. ↵ D. J. Johnson, J. Cesario, Reply to Knox and Mummolo: Critique of Johnson et al. https://psyarxiv.com/dmhpu/ (16 August 2019). Google Scholar

View Abstract

✉ Sign up for Article Alerts

| Enter Email Address | Sign up |

ARTICLE CLASSIFICATIONS

Social Sciences » Psychological and Cognitive Sciences

YOU MAY ALSO BE INTERESTED IN

Military conscription and public sector employment

NAS member Dalton Conley explains how the Vietnam War draft lotteries are a natural experiment for studying how military service affects life outcomes.

| Listen | 🔊 |

Past Podcasts │ Subscribe

Core Concept: Nascent exascale supercomputers offer promise, present challenges

Along with bragging rights, the nations that achieve exascale computing early will have a leg up in the scientific revolutions of the future.

Image credit: Flickr/Oak Ridge National Laboratory, licensed under CC BY 2.0.

Evolution of lions

A study examines the demographic and evolutionary history of extinct and living lions.

Image credit: Pixabay/Sponchia.

How desert microbes extract water from rocks

Researchers examine how Atacama Desert microbes have adapted to parched environments and use rocks as a water source.

Speech-generated droplets and COVID-19 transmission

A study finds that airborne droplets produced through speech could be a significant mode of COVID-19 transmission.

▶ **Similar Articles**

Retraction for Johnson et al., Officer characteristics and racial disparities in fatal officer-involved shootings

Correction for Johnson et al., Officer characteristics and racial disparities in fatal officer-involved shootings

Scientific versus public debates: A PNAS case study

Reply to Knox and Mummolo and Schimmack and Carlsson: Controlling for crime and population rates

The criminogenic and psychological effects of police stops on adolescent black and Latino boys

See more

➜ Submit Manuscript

Articles

Current Issue

Latest Articles

Archive

PNAS Portals

Anthropology

Chemistry

Classics

Front Matter

Physics

Sustainability Science

Teaching Resources

Information

Authors

Editorial Board

Reviewers

Press

Site Map

PNAS Updates

Feedback   Privacy/Legal

📢 Article Alerts

✉ Email Article

🌐 Citation Tools

© Request Permissions

➦ Share

Like 88

〽️ Mendeley

January 21, 2020 | vol. 117 | no. 3 | pp. 1237–1820

PNAS

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America   www.pnas.org

Conservation of Nassau grouper

Tool use in puffins
Impact crater in Laos
Bacterial conversion of estrogens into androgens
Grazer evolution and resource availability

Table of Contents

Submit

Sign up for the PNAS *Highlights* newsletter—the top stories in science, free to your inbox twice a month:

Enter Email Address